

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

---

**NOTICE OF  
FORTHCOMING FILING**

---

The Supreme Court has indicated that the filing of a written opinion in the following case(s) is forthcoming. At the filing time designated below, the filed opinion(s) will be accessible at the judicial branch web site ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov)) and copies will be made available at the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

[Generally, the description set out with regard to each case is reproduced from the original news release issued when review in the matter was granted, and is provided for the convenience of the public and the press. The description does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

**IN RE MARRIAGE OF HARRIS**

S101836 (D036144; San Diego County Superior Court No. D391902 TXA)  
Argued in San Francisco 5-26-04

This case includes the following issue: Are Family Code sections 3103 and 3104, which govern requests for visitation by grandparents of minor children, unconstitutional in light of the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Troxel v. Granville* (2000) 530 U.S. 57?

**IN RE JENNINGS ON HABEAS CORPUS**

S115009 ( C041479; Sacramento County Superior Court No. 00M07614)  
Argued in San Francisco 5-27-04

This case includes the following issues: (1) Is Business and Professions Code section 25658, subdivision (c), which imposes criminal liability on those who purchase alcohol for a minor who thereafter causes great bodily injury or death, a strict liability offense? (2) Is a defendant's reasonable mistake of fact (with regard to whether the person for whom alcohol was purchased was a minor) a defense to this crime?

**IN RE SEATON ON HABEAS CORPUS**

S067491  
Argued in San Francisco 5-25-04

In this case, which is related to the automatic appeal in *People v. Seaton* (2001) 26 Cal.4th 598, the court issued an order to show cause including the following issue: May a criminal defendant who at trial did not take action that was necessary to preserve an issue for appeal nonetheless raise that issue in a petition for habeas corpus, or is the issue forfeited both on appeal and on habeas corpus?

**Opinion(s) in the above case(s) will be filed on:**

---

Monday, August 23, 2004 at 10:00 a.m.

---